PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 19 NOV 2004 From the PCT INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/EP2004/000248 15.01.2004 15.01.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61F2/06 Applicant ANGIOMED GMBH & CO. MEDIZINTECHNIK KG 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion Box No. Ⅱ Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention ☑ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application inc. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date,

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.



3.

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 **Authorized Officer**

Smith, C

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4018



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/000248

	Box	No. I Basis of the opinion						
1.	With the la	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	ı	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following anguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
	a. type of material:							
		a sequence listing						
		table(s) related to the sequence listing						
b. format of material:								
		in written format						
		in computer readable form						
	e of filing/furnishing:							
		contained in the international application as filed.						
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
3.	r C	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.						
4.	Additional comments:							

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/000248

_										
	Box No. II	Priority								
1.	☐ The following document has not been furnished:									
	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).									
	\Box translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b)).									
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.									
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.									
3.	Additional observations, if necessary:									
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement									
1.	Statement									
	Novelty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-43					
	Inventive step (IS)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-43					
	Industrial applicability (IA)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-43					

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

- The following document is referred to in this communication:
 D1: WO 03/002020 A (SALVIAC LIMITED) 9 January 2003 (2003-01-09)
- 2 Document D1, is considered to represent the closest prior art, and shows a transluminal, guidewire advanced, rapid exchange surgical delivery device.

The subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs from this known surgical delivery device in that there are tubular means for defining a guidewire lumen within the distal zone of the device.

2.1 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT)

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as ensuring that the proximal end of the guidewire exits through the proximal exit port of the device when back-loaded into the distal end of the device. This is solved by the differing features as stated above.

- 2.2 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) since the features of claim 1 cannot be derived from the available prior art.
- 2.3 Claims 2-43 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.